

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/506,714	09/07/2004	Ki Y. Nam	4711P010	4885
8791	7590 12/14/2005		EXAMINER	
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN			SHINGLETON, MICHAEL B	
12400 WILS SEVENTH	SHIRE BOULEVARD FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGE	LES, CA 90025-1030		2817	
			DATE MAILED: 12/14/2009	ς .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

t ·			AL
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/506,714	NAM	
Office Action Summary -	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael B. Shingleton	2817	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		h the correspondence address	;
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	/ IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MC) NTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DA	244
 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. 	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re	ATION. ply be timely filed	
 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	cause the application to become ABA	ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	nce except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the mer	its is
closed in accordance with the practice under E			
Disposition of Claims		. •	
		•	
4) Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement		
or claim(s) are subject to restriction under	ciconon requirement.	· ·	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) 🗌 objected to b	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-15	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	•	•	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		eceived in this National Stag	е
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		acaivad	
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not i	eceived.	۱ ۸
	·	MiladBJ)
Attachment(s)		MIXMAELBSHIM	RETON
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview St	Immary (PTO-415) MARYEXAN	ène:
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	Mail Date. ARTINI formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/7/2004 25kets	6) Other:	•	

Application/Control Number: 10/506,714

Art Unit: 2817

. - 1

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 14 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 14 recites "a third means coupled to the third means". From the specification it is clear that applicant actually meant "a third means coupled to the second means". Accordingly, for examining purposes the claims will be read as "a third means coupled to the second means". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 6-8, 12-14, 19-21 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seike et al. 6,243,576 (Seike) in view of Chiba et al. 5,029,298 (Chiba) and Miyamae et al. 5,818,215 (Miyamae).

Figure 18 and the relevant text of Seike discloses a RF system and method of providing spectral depiction of an RF signal which includes providing an RF source such as from a cellular telephone (See column 2, around line 7) and a spectrum analyzer section (This is referred to sometimes in the claims as a "digital analysis circuit" or "second means for performing digital signal analysis".). The spectrum analyzer section is composed of at least a mixer 204, a filter 206, a logarithmic detector 213, and an A/D converter. While the coupler between the RF source and the spectrum analyzer section is not shown, there is clearly a coupler or "first means" positioned between the RF source and the spectrum analyzer in Seike otherwise the spectrum analyzer could not analyze the RF source. The connection between the A/D converter 216 and the display unit 217 of Seike forms a third means or interface, but Seike is silent on the construction of the display means as including a computer and is silent on connecting the device of Seike to another computer on the internet so as to provide the spectral display to another computer. Seike is silent on the structure of the RF source or cellular telephone. The conventional cellular telephone includes a power amplifier as disclosed by Chiba (See Figure 4 and column 2, around line 52).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replaced the generic cellular phone of Seike with one that includes an RF power amplifier

Application/Control Number: 10/506,714

Art Unit: 2817

because as the Seike reference is silent on the exact structure of the cellular telephone one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use any art-recognized equivalent cellular telephone including one that has a power amplifier such as the one taught by Chiba.

As recited above Seike is silent on the details of the construction of the display unit 217 and is silent on the interface being connected to another computer by an internet connection.

Miyamae discloses the display unit for a spectrum analyzer can be composed of a computer, i.e. cpu that communicates with memory and the video display means so as to provide adjustment to the spectrum analyzer and control the information displayed (See column 1 around line 28).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replaced the generic display device of Seike with one that includes a computer that provides adjustment to the spectrum analyzer because as the Seike reference is silent on the exact structure of the display device one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use any art-recognized display device including a display device that employs a computer such as the one taught by Miyamae. One of ordinary skill would have been further motivated to make the combination so as to allow for computer control of the spectrum analyzer which allows for reprogramming and thus the control circuit can be changed for changes in the circuit such as aging.

As to the internet connection, the examiner take Official Notice that the use of the internet to connect two computer devices so as to share information is conventionally known in the art. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided an internet connection to the device made obvious above so as to allow the computer device of the device above to share information with other computer devices. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been further motivated to make the combination so as to allow remote access to the information gather by the device made obvious above as this is one of the conventionally known purposes of the internet.

Claims 2-4, 5, 9-11, 15-18 and 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seike et al. 6,243,576 (Seike) in view of Chiba et al. 5,029,298 (Chiba) and Miyamae et al. 5,818,215 (Miyamae) in further view of as applied to claims 1, 6-8, 12-14, 19-21 and 26 above, and further in view of either Bernstein 4,118,666 (Bernstein) or Doi 6,060,878 (Doi).

Sieke is silent on the construction of the variable oscillator 205 and circuitry that provides the signal that causes the sweeping of the frequency of the variable frequency oscillator 205.

In a typical spectrum analyzer the variable frequency oscillator is typically formed by a VCO and the sweep control section typically includes a ramp generator as shown by Figure 1 of Bernstein and

Art Unit: 2817

Figure 5 of Doi. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replaced the generic variable frequency oscillator and the circuitry that provides for the signal that causes the sweeping of the frequency of the variable frequency oscillator of Seike with a VCO and ramp generator because as the Seike reference is silent on the exact structure of the variable frequency oscillator and the circuit that provides the control signal thereto one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use any art-recognized variable frequency oscillator and circuitry that provides for the signal that causes the sweeping of the frequency of the variable frequency oscillator equivalent including a VCO and ramp generator such as the ones taught by either Bernstein or Doi.

With respect to claims like claims 4, 5, 11, 17, 18, 22 and 25 here a "blanking' signal is produced from the ramp generator that corresponds to the "zero VDC" point of the ramp signal. This signal is recited as being fed into the output of the log detector. Note that there is no specific definition of this signal is set forth by applicant. In addition to that above, Bernstein discloses a timing pulse generator circuit 15 that generates a INDEX pulse that is responsive to the zero VDC as is clearly shown in Figure 1 of Bernstein and this INDEX signal is fed to the MEM element 18 which is on the output side of the log detector and thus the INDEX signal is fed into the output of the log detector. The INC pulses are seen as clearly indexed to the zero VDC signal and are applied to the detector 16 itself and is applied to the A/D converter. Thus these signals of Bernstein are seen as a blanking signal that corresponds to the zero VDC point of the ramp generator. These timing signals are just that the ensure the proper timing of the operation of the circuit so that the proper values are stored into memory (See at least column 3, around line 30 of Bernstein).

Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have triggered off the ramp generator of the combination made obvious above so that the proper values are stored into the Data Ram i.e. memory so that the entire band sweep can be displayed as taught by Berstein.

With respect to claims 3, 16 and 23 the timing pulse generator means in the combination made obvious above is an A/D converter in that the analog signal, i.e. the ramp signal is converted to a digital signal having the values of "0" or "1". Note that the idea of a spectrum analyzer is to convert the ramped voltage and thus the ramped frequency to the spectral depiction of the RF output. This is converter being connected to the output of the input of the VCO is seen as being "in parallel" since this is the same connection as that of applicant's invention.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2817

....

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note that a diode detector is also known as a logarithmic detector as disclosed by Richardson 5,574,360.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael B. Shingleton whose telephone number is (571) 272-1770.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal, can be reached on (571)272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306 and after July 15, 2005 the fax number will be 571-273-8300. Note that old fax number (703-872-9306) will be service until September 15, 2005.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MBS November 30, 2005

> Michael B Shingleton Primary Examiner Group Art Unit 2817